## DRAFT -- Switching from Old APS Fossil & Nuclear-Centric Sourcing to New Community Choice Energy (CCE) Renewables-Oriented Electrical Mix, Savings in Bills, Carbon Emissions, and Water By Russell Lowes, 6/4/2022

For a Typical Household Running 750 Kilowatt-Hours of Electricity (kWhe) Per Month in Arizona, after about Five Years of Starting a CCE

Current APS Household vs. Community Choice Energy (CCE) Household, With Two Different Scenarios of Energy M	/lix
Including Primarily Gas, Nuclear and Coal for the Utility, and Primarily Solar and Wind with Storage for the CCE	

Table 1: APS Generating+Non-Generating Costs:								Table 2:	Table 2: Table 3: CCE Generating Costs:										
Electricity Source/ Efficiency or Storage Option:	APS Electri- city Mix <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	APS House- hold kWhe/ Month	APS Cost for Typical House- hold	APS CO2 Grams/ Energy Option	APS CO2 Lbs/ Energy Option		PS Genera- ion Cost	New Delivered Cost/ kWhe	CO2 grams/ kWhe	Lbs CO2/ kWhe	Water Use: Gal- Ions/ kWhe	-	CCE Senera- on Cost	CCE Prefer- red e-Mix % <sup>(s)</sup>	CCE House- hold kWhe/ Month	CCE New Cost for Typical House- hold	CCE CO2 Grams/ Energy Option	CCE CO2 Lbs/ Energy Option	CCE Gal- Ions/ Energy Option
Coal <sup>(j)</sup>	20.0%	150	\$ 21.60	150,000	331	84 \$	12.60	0.144	1000	2.205	0.56	\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Gas <sup>(c)(L)</sup>	36.3%	272	\$ 39.15	5 203,906	450	58 \$	22.84	0.144	750	1.653	0.22	\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Utility Renewable Energy (RE) <sup>(d)(o)(i)</sup>	8.8%	66	\$ 6.56	6 1,313	2.9	1 \$	2.63	0.10	20	0.044	0.02	\$	21.60	72.0%	540	\$ 54.00	10,800	23.8	10.8
RE Purchased <sup>(p)</sup>	3.8%	28	\$ 2.8	563	1.2	1 \$	1.13	0.10	20	0.044	0.02	\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Wind, On-Shore <sup>(m)</sup>	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	0\$	-	0.10	10	0.022	0.00	\$	2.40	8.0%	60	\$ 6.00	600	1.3	-
Nuclear <sup>(e)(k)(q)</sup>	31.3%	234	\$ 59.77	7 15,234	33.6	195 \$	45.70	0.255	65	0.143	0.83	\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Energy Efficiency	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	0 \$	-	0.045	5	0.011	0.00	\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Hydroelectric	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	0 \$	-					\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Battery/Storage	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	0\$	-	0.105				\$	-	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	
Firming/Backup Energy <sup>(f)</sup>	0.0%	0	\$-	0	0.0	0\$	-	0.106	831	1.831	0.45	\$	15.96	20.0%	150	\$ 15.96	124,595	274.7	67.5
Totals/Month	100.0%	750	\$ 129.89	371,016	817.9	338 \$	84.89	\$0.106	100% of a	bove <sup>(n)</sup>		\$	39.96	100.0%	750	\$ 75.96	135,995	299.8	78.3
Total C	Total Cost,CO2,Gals./kWh: \$0.173 494.7 1.1 0.45 \$0.113 \$0.0533 \$0.101 181 0.40 0.10										0.10								
APS Non-										- w for Non-Ge	en. Cost								
										\$30.00									
Monthly CCE Fee < Utility ("MCE" APS rate plan):									\$22.33	Total C	CE+Grid:	\$ 92.29	i.e. CCE	E Gen.+Non	-Gen.				
APS Dellivery Costs/kWhe & \$/Mo: \$52									\$52.33	Delivered	d \$/kWhe:	\$0.123	_						
	or this many pounds produced/month: CCE RE Water kWhe/Mo & Bill CO2 Svgs Savings, Multiple of Savings/Mo Pounds /Mo Gal/Mo APS RE																		
	Summary: Monthly savings in cost, CO2 emissions in pounds of CO2, and gallons of water usage, for CCE +/(): \$ 37.60 518 260 608 Savings as a percentage for CCE +/(): 28.9% 63.3% 76.9% 6.5																		

APS water use is much higher than the CCE alternative, due to cooling at its nuclear and fossil fuel plants.

By contrast, solar uses a small amount, 0.02 gal/kWhe for cleaning of the panels. Wind has negligible water usage.<sup>(m)</sup>

TABLE 4: APS Electricity Import Recalculation <sup>(a)(b)</sup>										
	Current % of		Demand Side							
	APS Electricity	To Convert	Mgmt to Just	Adding 5%	Est'd. APS Mix					
	Self-	Prior Col. To	Yield Production	Imported,	Generated +					
Electricity Option	Generated*	100%	Blend	Spread Evenly	Imported					
Nuclear	25.0%	26.3%	29.7%	1.6%	31.3%					
Coal	16.0%	16.8%	19.0%	1.0%	20.0%					
Gas	29.0%	30.5%	34.4%	1.8%	36.3%					
CCS Coal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Utility Rewnewables										
including PV <sup>(r)</sup>	7.0%	7.4%	8.3%	0.4%	8.8%					
Rooftop PV	3.0%	3.2%	3.6%	0.2%	3.8%					
Wind	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Energy Efficiency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					

Battery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	*Self generated includes rooftop and other distributed-generation solar,
Demand Side Mgmt	15.0%	15.8%				
	95.0%	100.0%	95.0%	5.0%	100.0%	because the utility takes credit for it as a Renewable Energy Credit.

NOTES

- a> See APS Annual Report 2021: https://sec.report/Document/0000764622-22-000014/ Go to "Energy Sources and Resource Planning" pie chart.
- This has been adjusted in the table above, increasing the % for electricity (aka e), by assuming the same mix of purchased e as APS-generated e.

A37

- b> lbid., the solar figures for APS are not broken down in the annual report for centralized versus rooftop/distributed generation,
- they are only broken down into utilitiy-owned verus purchased renewables -- this could include merchant renewable purchases, power purchase agreements and rooftop solar. c> The CO2 from gas is roughly estimated, to include the industry-reported on-site CO2 emission plus the furtive emissions all along the line from well field to the power plant.
- The standard 450 grams per kWhe often cited by government and company officials has not been updated in many years, all while we know that fracking extractions and well fields have higher emissions.
- d> The CO2 emissions from the lifecycle of solar (from manufacturing, recycling, etc.) are given much higher estmates by older studies and lower by newer ones. The estimate based on a trend of lowered embedded energy in the lifecycle analyses.
- e> The two meta-studies done on the CO2 emissions from nuclear energy both estimate about 65 grams of CO2 per kilowatt-hour from nuclear energy as the average of the studies that passed their screens for transparency, etc. However, these studies do not count the true CO2 production from three areas that are more difficult to quantify. The highest of the three unquantified CO2 emissions source is from long term nuclear waste management from nine different waste steps.
- See: http://www.nirs.org/climate/background/sovacool\_nuclear\_ghg.pdf AND http://www.energiasostenible.org/mm/file/GCT2008%20Doc\_ML-LCE%26Emissions.pdf
- f> Utility coverage of gaps in renewable energy is priced at 95% the average retail rate.
- g>
- h> A typical franchise fee (aka exit fee) that the CCE has to pay the utility might run about 3-4¢/kWhe.
- i> https://www.seia.org/initiatives/water-use-management
- > Water use for coal is at: U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, "Proposed Modifications to the Four Corners Powerplant and Navajo Mine, Final Environmental
- Impact Statement," vol. 1, 1976, p. I.19; and Federal Power Commission, "Steam-Electric Plant Construction Cost and Annual Production Expenses," 1972-74.
- k> Water use and production at PVNGS is at: https://www.neimagazine.com/features/features/featurean-oasis-filled-with-grey-water/ and https://www.eia.gov/nuclear/state/archive/2010/
- L> Average water usage for gas (although highly variable) is at: https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/water-natural-gas
- m> Wind turbine water usage is vitually zero: https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/01/f19/WINDEXchange-Wind-Energy-Fact-Sheet.pdf
- n> This is a difficult number to predict, under development, but a typical average sourcing cost for firming might be expressed as a % of the average retail price of electricity.
- This percentage accounts for much of the sourced energy being bought at typical wholesale rates of about 3¢/kWhe, plus spot and short-term peak purchases at a much higher price. o> Examples of solar cost/kWhe being approximately 4¢, and lower are at: 2017 source at https://www.utilitydive.com/news/updated-tucson-electric-signs-solar-storage-ppa-for-less-than-45kwh/443293/ 2019 source at https://www.greentechmedia.com/articles/read/arizona-water-provider-approves-lower-cost-solar-ppa-to-replace-coa#gs.yYOuz6w
- p> Solar prices have fallen below 4¢/kWhe for PPAs: Lawrence Berkeley National Lab https://emp.lbl.gov/sites/default/files/utility\_scale\_solar\_2021\_edition\_slides.pdf AND the parent site at https://emp.lbl.gov/utility-scale-solar/ Futher information at this LBL site, spreadsheet (18 MB Exce).: https://emp.lbl.gov/sites/default/files/2021\_utility-scale\_solar\_data\_update\_0.xlsm Solar prices have fallen below 2¢/kWhe in some cases: https://solarbuildermag.com/policy/ieefa-record-low-solar-paps-are-another-nail-in-carbon-captures-coffin/ Solar prices for PPAs by region are at: https://www.renewableenergyworld.com/solar/amazons-renewable-energy-portfolio-just-got-a-lot-bigger?utm\_source=rew\_weekly\_newsletter&utm\_medium=email&utm\_campaign=2021-12-08
- q> Nuclear generation costs have been estimated to run about 15¢/kWhe in World Nuclear Industry Status Report, 2020 at https://www.worldnuclearreport.org/IMG/pdf/wnisr2020-v2\_ir.pdf page 269. In the most recent annual report by Lazard, this company projects a cost of 13.1-20.4¢/kWhe for generation cost. Adding 7¢ for delivery cost, 1¢ more than the average to account for new and upgraded transmission capacity needed, this cost range delivered would be 20.1 to 27.4¢ per kWhe. Here I project 25¢/kWhe, which is probably on the low side, considering the recent overruns at the only nuclear plant under construction in the U.S., 2 reactors at the Vogtle plant in Georgia. See: https://www.lazard.com/perspective/levelized-cost-of-energy-levelized-cost-of-storage-and-levelized-cost-of-hydrogen/ In my projections for nuclear energy costs, I find 28.5¢ to be the most likely cost for this plant, when completed, in probably about 2023. Here I give a more conservative estimate of 25¢/kWhe delivered.
- r> Self generated includes rooftop and other distributed-generation solar, because the utility takes credit for it as a Renewable Energy Credit.
- s> 90% solar X (1 minus fraction of firming factor); and 10% wind X (1 minus fraction of firming factor)